

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,404	10/22/2004	Tsuyoshi Kashima	885A.0002.U1(US)	4456
29683 7590 05/05/2008 HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC			EXAMINER	
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE		BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	_
10/500,404		KASHIMA, TSUYOSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRISTOPHER M. BRANDT	2617	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE F	REPLY FILED <u>15 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies; (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application; applicant must unless the order of the following replies: (1) an american ent, amount, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
	periods:
a) [X The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the period for reply expires 4 months from the final rejection for reply expires 4 months from the final rejection for reply expires 4 months from the final rejection for reply expires 4 months from the final rejection for reply expires 4 months from the final rejection for rejection for reply expires 4 months from the final rejection for reply expires 4 months from the final rejection for reply expires 4 months from the final rejection for reply expires 4 months from the final rejection for reply expires 4 months from the final rejection for rejection for reply expires 4 months from the final rejection for reply expires 4 months from the final rejection for
b) [
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensi	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee
nave b	een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee
under 3	37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
set fort	h in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
may re	duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
NOTIC	CE OF APPEAL
<u>я</u> П.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of

may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.137 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
AMENDMENTS
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).
7. 🔀 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🛭 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.133(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. Other:
/George Eng/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617
Caponicon, and manimon, at Circan

Continuation of 3 and 11. With regard to applicant's argument, the examiner relierates that Anderson discisoses that a favored service provider is millian to the partner service provider except that a coverage area of the favored service providers and another if the service provider. In addition, Anderson discisoses that the first and second coverage areas may overlap one another if the service providers operate in different bands, where the mobile station determines the particular classification of the service providers by using transmitted channels from the base stations (column 3 lines 46-49, 53-61, column 4 lines 26-29). Moreover, the mobile station includes an overlap flag so that the mobile station can select the best service provider to indicate whether or not a less detailed overage area overlaps a more desirable coverage area (column 5 lines 3-6). In other words, Anderson in fact discloses various flags (and an overlap counter) for specified base stations (i.e. specified nodes) in order to count the overlaps to determine the best vice provider. Therefore, Anderson in view of Cohen discloses the limitation, "counting the number of overlaps between a communication zone of one of the specified nodes of other specified nodes of orders provider of most specified nodes or other specified nodes of orders of provider."

The examiner further notes that the claims are written such that the specified nodes could be service providers with base stations as one of ordinary skill is aware that base stations are often referred to as nodes.

As a result, the argued claim limitations are written in such a way that they read upon the cited references,

Chris Brandt Art Unit 2617 04/29/2008